

On Fri, Dec 19, 2014 at 10:21 PM, Rich Norman wrote:

Dear Arvydas Tamulis and others:

It is a rare privilege to be able to be able to respond to these advanced ideas. Arvydas Tamulis, clearly you are quite correct and the small details must be addressed in a stepwise way to gain real scientific credibility to these notions and approaches. That is proper science. You are correct. However, there is an even more pressing need to address the social issues which are responsible for the nearly imminent collapse of modern society, and I submit to you that the quantum model does have much to offer in gaining access to the public's state of attention. Correct me if I am wrong, but there are examples of funding for educational public intervention:

Eg., Justification of this proposal:

>From The Contribution of Science and Society (FP6) and Science in Society (FP7) to a Responsible Research and Innovation. A Review,
As in : ENGAGE; Equipping the Next Generation for Active Engagement in Science UK-SHEFFIELD HALLAM UNIVERSITY p. 125, KIDSINNSCIENCE Innovation in Science Education - Turning AUSTRIA-Ä- STERREICHISCHES Ä- KOLOGIE- INSTITUT p. 126, IRRESISTIBLE; Including Responsible Research and innovation in cutting Edge Science and Inquiry-based Science education to improve Teacher's Ability of Bridging Learning Environments NETHERLANDS-RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN p. 126, ISWA Immersion in the Science Worlds through Arts ITALY- UNIVERSITA POLITECNICA DELLE MARCHE p. 126, PERARES Public Engagement with Research and Research Engagement with Society Netherlands- RIJKSUNIVERSITEIT GRONINGEN p. 127...etc.

Please find a recent article published just this day in support of the idea of quantum experimental demonstration for public consumption:

<http://blog.theultranet.com/2014/12/human-scientificism-proof-and-the-new-paradigmour-faithless-faith.html>

Please find attached a daring and correct account of the quantum nature of psychological and empathetic processes which demonstrate the functional quantum/psychological mechanism of the paradigm shift.

Please note that creativity is fostered by increased proximity to unconscious content and processes which are quantum in nature: time is absent, all things are in proximate associative contact, and may create compound objects as compromise formations or superpositions, etc. In this way it has become evident to me that quantum processes are akin to unconscious processes, and, this understanding has changed much for me as indicated in the attached essay. Others can understand this as well, if it is demonstrated clearly. What I understand in two equations, the public must understand as simple fact.

1. (Phylogenetic and Ontological) Object + Identification in the context of Observation yields Unity.

$$\text{Obv}[p/\text{ontO} + \text{I}] = \text{U}$$

That is the formula for our quantum wish fulfillment, place and purpose in happiness on this planet.

2. $(-I \cdot R = I) = (+\Delta su) + (-(\Delta?))$

This equation states that when the repressed (as the quantum) returns and is integrated into the conscious ego (the linear), all sublimation, such as creativity, is increased, as well as stability of personality. This is the equation for mental health via substitute symptom, the equation for "creative psychology."

So, Arvydas Tamulis, while you are undoubtedly correct in your assessment, on the points of a connectivity of import that may deserve funding for more general experimental approaches to entice the public along a new pathway, and the relation of the quantum outlook and creativity, I respectfully disagree.

Humbly yours,

Rich Norman

www.mindmagazine.net

In reply:

Dear Rich Norman,

Thank you for the long study but because my doctor diploma is in the field of theoretical-mathematical physics, let me stop in the beginning of your letter where you are writing:

“Please note that creativity is fostered by increased proximity to unconscious content and processes which are quantum in nature: time is absent, all things are in proximate associative contact, and may create compound objects as compromise formations or superpositions, etc.”

I do not know any scientific data confirming that “unconscious content and processes ... are quantum in nature”.

I agree that creativity is based on superposition of some ideas but this superposition is not quantum physics superposition at all. This is only verbal similarity and you later begin to build all other theories based on this not confirmed statement: “unconscious content and

processes ... are quantum in nature”.

Of course, you or your colleagues have rose pretty interesting hypothesis but for my opinion needs to confirm at least that exist real physical quantum superposition between two synapses and between two neurons.

The similar mistakenly approach of quantum mechanical equations to economical processes I saw in the project “Quantum Economics” and all these “physical economists” are missing their capital when beginning to play in stock markets.

I am afraid that you also will miss your time even if will win finances for your project.

I suppose that will be really large social-economical problems in the nearest future for human society related with the developing of quantum mechanical nano robots, quantum nano guns, synthetic nano viruses, etc. but let me regret that one of our proposals to EC which concerns developing of anti-nano guns was rejected. I think that this project needs to be renovated and once again submitted. There also needs support from philosophers and social scientists which have to develop the new safety rules for scientists and technology engineers the similar as was agreement not to develop nuclear bombs technologies.

With best regards,

Arvydas